Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/602,790	MEERWALD ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thierry L. Pham	2625

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) _Thierry L. Pham_.   (3)_Derek Benke_.

(2) _Joseph Wrorkich_.   (4)_____.

Date of Interview: _05 October 2007_.

Type: a)☐ Telephonic   b)☐ Video Conference
           c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant   2)☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes   e)☐ No.
   If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: _23_.

Identification of prior art discussed: _US 6714209_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached.   g)☐ was not reached.   h)☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: _Discussion include proposed amendment to claim 23 and prior art of record to VAn Valer (US 6714209). Further claim proposal include "applets" application run on a local device_.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

DAVID MOORE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signature, if required